

Anita Khandelwal, Director 710 Second Avenue, Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98104 anita.khandelwal@kingcounty.gov

April 30, 2024

Justice Mary Yu P.O. Box 40929 Olympia, WA 98504-0929

Dear Justice Yu,

Facing a criminal charge can be an enormously destabilizing and life-altering event. An individual's decision to accept a plea offer or go to trial, and how quickly that decision can be intelligently made, have enormous consequences. Timely access to the allegations and evidence supporting a criminal charge is essential for the accused. The ability for the person charged to access and review discovery materials is a central component of criminal defense. While it is of course defense counsel's responsibility to review case materials with their client, a client's access to the materials outside the limited confines of attorney-client meetings is immeasurably valuable. This is particularly important for clients incarcerated pretrial due to unaffordable bail.

However, those who wish to obtain and review discovery materials are often greatly delayed and may have to pay a steep price. For example, in King County, if an accused person seeks a copy of redacted discovery, not only must the Defense await the State's approval of the proposed redactions, "the early plea negotiator will discontinue negotiations and will have the case assigned to a trial deputy." Once their case is assigned to a trial deputy, the person loses access to the "pre-trial track" where the prosecutor may "consider reductions, alternative programs (such as Drug Diversion Court and Regional Mental Health Court), mitigation information, equitable considerations, etc." See King County Prosecuting Attorney Office's "Early Plea Negotiations Process Guidelines" at https://kingcounty.gov/en/legacy/depts/prosecutor/criminal-overview/early-plea.aspx. Thus, a criminally accused person who wishes to obtain and review —on their own—the evidence against them faces the possibility of forfeiting the ability to negotiate a pretrial resolution of their case.

The proposed changes to CrR 4.7/CrRLJ 4.7 would end this coercive plea-bargaining practice by allowing defense teams to redact discovery for accused people according to protocols in yet-to-be-developed local court rules. This local court rule approach would address concerns raised about a similar proposal from 2018, in which the Washington Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers prescribed a statewide redaction protocol that parties such as the King County PAO found inadequate. Under the new proposal, local courts could adopt the protocols already in use by their local prosecutors, representing no change in discovery redaction approach in use in each jurisdiction.

The Court should adopt the proposed changes to CrR 4.7/CrRLJ 4.7, and make it easier for accused persons to make informed decisions about matters with life-changing impact to themselves, their families, and our community.

Sincerely,

Anita Khandelwal, Director
King County Department of Public Defense

Shannon Perez-Darby, Founder Accountable Communities Consortium

Jazmyn Clark, Smart Justice Policy Program Director ACLU-WA

Nicole Zayas Manzano, Deputy Director of Policy The Bail Project

Nicholas Oakley, Director of Policy & Strategy Center for Children & Youth Justice

Prachi Dave, Managing Director of Policy and Advocacy Civil Survival

Providence Kamana, CEO Cocreative Culture

Dominique Davis, CEO Community Passageways

Kim Ford, Chief of Staff Community Passageways

nikkita oliver, Esq., M. Ed., Executive Director Creative Justice

Stephen Woolworth, Ph.D, CEO Evergreen Treatment Services

Hailey Gray, Policy & Program Coordinator Justice for Girls Coalition

Kendrick Glover, Executive Director GloverEmpowerMentoring-GEM

Erin Shea McCann, Director of Policy & Systemic Advocacy Legal Counsel for Youth and Children (LCYC)

Charles Smith, Public Policy and Advocacy Director The Mockingbird Society Skylar Brett, LEAD Legal Services Director Purpose. Dignity. Action. (PDA)

Jason Schwarz, Director Snohomish County Office of Public Defense

Kathleen Kyle, Executive Director Snohomish County Public Defender Association

Kia C. Franklin, Executive Director Stand for Children Washington

Magda Baker, Director of Legal Services Washington Defender Association

Lara Zarowsky, Executive & Policy Director Washington Innocence Project

Lei Young, Staff Attorney Washington State Office of Public Defense

Representative Kirsten Harris-Talley (former)

Emily Hiskes

Paul Holland

Matthew Kama'aina

Martina Kartman

Anne Lee

Arthur Longsworth

Amy Muth

Sara Rankin

Kim Serry

From: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

To: <u>Martinez, Jacquelynn</u>

Subject: FW: Comment on Proposed CrR 4.7/CrRLJ 4.7 (Discovery) Amendments from King County DPD and Others

Date: Tuesday, April 30, 2024 4:02:00 PM

Attachments: Comment on Proposed CrR 4.7 and CrRLJ 4.7 (Discovery) Amendments from King County DPD.pdf

From: Khandelwal, Anita <Anita.Khandelwal@kingcounty.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2024 3:58 PM

To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK < SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>

Cc: Hurley, Katherine < Katherine. Hurley@kingcounty.gov>

Subject: Comment on Proposed CrR 4.7/CrRLJ 4.7 (Discovery) Amendments from King County DPD

and Others

External Email Warning! This email has originated from outside of the Washington State Courts Network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, are expecting the email, and know the content is safe. If a link sends you to a website where you are asked to validate using your Account and Password, **DO NOT DO SO!** Instead, report the incident.

Good afternoon,

The King County Department of Public Defense and dozens of co-signatories submit the attached comment in support of the proposed amendments to CrR 4.7 and CrRLJ 4.7 (Discovery). Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Anita Khandelwal
Director
King County Department of Public Defense
710 Second Avenue, Suite 200
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 263-2816
anita.khandelwal@kingcounty.gov

Pronouns: she/her